

National Judicial Academy

P-1282: National Workshop for High Court Justices on the Regime of Goods and Services Act (GST)

12th – 13th February, 2022

Programme Coordinator : Mr. Prasadh Raj Singh & Mr. Krishna Sisodia, Faculty

No. of Participants : 29

No. of forms received : 04

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	75.00	25.00	-	-
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	50.00	50.00	-	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	50.00	50.00	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	50.00	50.00	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	75.00	25.00	-	-
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	50.00	50.00	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	50.00	50.00	-	-

c. Up to date	75.00	25.00	-	-
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	50.00	50.00	-	-
e. Related to International Legal Norms	-	-	-	-
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITION	Good (%)	Satisfactory (%)	Unsatisfactory (%)	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	75.00	25.00	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Case studies were relevant	50.00	50.00	-	-
(ii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	25.00	75.00	-	-
(iii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	25.00	75.00	-	-
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful (%)	Satisfactory (%)	Effective and Useful (%)	Satisfactory (%)
1	50.00	50.00	66.67	33.33
2	75.00	25.00	100.00	-
3	75.00	25.00	100.00	-
4	66.67	33.33	66.67	33.33
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	100.00	-	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy	100.00	-	-	-

in the discussed area				
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	75.00	25.00	-	-

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS	
1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. It gave me better perspective/understanding of the subject discussed.</p> <p>2. None.</p> <p>3. None.</p> <p>4. None.</p>
2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why	<p>1. All were good. But I would like to make special mention of Justice Manmohan for his overall perspective of the laws. Mr. S. Ganesh, Mr. V. Lakshmikumaran and Mr. K. Vaitheeswaran for the analysis of live cases.</p> <p>2. None.</p> <p>3. None.</p> <p>4. The constitution is the grundnorm and all other laws derive their legality and applicability from it and as such, deliberation on the constitutional issues was most useful.</p>
3. Does the programme need further modulations or change	<p>1. No Comments.</p> <p>2. The time allotted to resource persons was very less and, in my opinion, a whole day should be allotted to a single resource person so that he can cover his topic in great detail without the time crunch. Also, this would ensure more time for the doubt session.</p> <p>3. None.</p> <p>4. None.</p>
4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective	<p>1. As the sessions are recorded and reading materials sent by mail, it can be made available to all High Court Judges irrespective of whether they are participants or not.</p> <p>2. None.</p> <p>3. None.</p> <p>4. None.</p>